Experimental and numerical simulation of water adsorption and diffusion in shale gas reservoir rocks
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Abstract: Despite the success of deep horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing in yielding large production increases from unconventional shale gas reservoirs, uncertainties associated with basic transport processes require understanding in order to improve efficiency and minimize environmental impacts. The hydraulic fracturing process introduces large volumes of water into shale gas reservoirs, most of which remains unrecoverable and interferes with gas production. In this study, the water adsorption and diffusion measurements of the Longmaxi Formation shale were conducted at 30°C and 50°C for relative humidities from 11.1% to 97.0%. Based on the experiment, a computational model based on the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion equation was constructed to analyze water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks, and the Guggenheim-Anderson-de Boer (GAB) isotherm for gas adsorption was included in the model. The results show that water adsorption isotherms of shales belong to type II curve, including the monolayer, multilayer adsorption and capillary condensation, and the GAB model can be used to describe the water adsorption process in shale rocks. The capillary pressure can reach the order of several hundreds of MPa after the hydraulic fracturing process, and it results in a large amount of fracturing fluid retained in shale gas reservoirs. Furthermore, the simulation of water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks is less than the experimental value, which further indicates that capillary condensation occurs in shale rocks.

1. Introduction

With the increasing demand for the world economy, the development of unconventional shale gas resource has attracted extensive attention worldwide, which has gradually become the strategic supplemental of conventional oil and gas resources (Shen et al., 2017; Tokunaga et al., 2017). Shale gas is a kind of unconventional natural gas that is adsorbed, free or dissolved within the pores of shale rocks (Wei et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2018). Compared with conventional gas reservoirs, shale gas reservoirs are characterized by low porosity and ultra-low permeability, so horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing are the key technologies to produce gas from shale gas reservoirs (Cheng, 2012; Xu et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2016). In the last few years, due to the advances in horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing technologies, gas production from shale formations has drastically increased in the United States (Li et al., 2015; Tokunaga et al., 2017). According to the statistics from the U.S. Energy Information Administration, about 16.86 trillion cubic feet of dry natural gas was produced from shale resources in the United States, and the proportion was about 62% of total U.S. dry natural gas production in 2017 (International Energy Agency, 2018). The large-scale development of shale gas influenced the world natural gas market and energy structure, and thus many major resource countries in the world have increased the exploration and development of shale gas reservoirs.

During the hydraulic fracturing process, a large amount of water-based fracturing fluid was injected into the shale formation while only a small amount of injected fluid returned to the ground, and most of the fluid remained in the shale formation to interfere with the development of shale gas reservoirs (King, 2010; Cheng, 2012). The mineral composition of shale is complex and contains a variety of clay minerals such as montmorillonite, kaolinite and illite (Shen...
et al., 2017). There exist charges on the surface of clay minerals, and water molecules and clay particles can be formed by hydrogen bonding, electrostatic force and intermolecular force, which forms a certain thickness of water film (Li et al., 2017). Chenevert (1970, 1998) and Ai-Awad and Smart (1996) believed that the main cause of shale failure was the hydration of shale in contact with water, and the migration of water and ions changed the physical and chemical state of shale, which resulted in the wellbore instability. Wu et al. (2014) considered that the flow capacity of gas phase when the saturation of pore water was 20% was lower than that on the drying condition by the gas-water-flow experiment in 1D nanoscale channels. Chang et al. (2013), Li et al. (2017) and Qi et al. (2018) believed that the existence of adsorbed water had a great influence on the adsorption ability of shale, and it would occupy the surface of inorganic hydrophilic mineral, which caused the decrease of shale adsorption ability. The water adsorption in shale not only influences the physical, chemical and mechanical properties of shale, but also affects the adsorption, diffusion and flow capacity of shale gas. Consequently, there is greatly significant to understand the water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks so as to optimize extraction conditions.

In the past few years, many laboratory experiments have been carried out by the domestic and foreign scholars to understand the changing process of shale in contact with water. Odufina (2011), and Dehghanpour et al. (2013) observed that shale could absorb more water, which was attributed to the adsorption of water molecules in clay. Gao et al. (2013) pointed out that the expansion ratio of shale in fracturing fluid was lower than that in formation water and distilled water using comparison experiments. Makhanov et al. (2014) and Ge et al. (2015) conducted water absorption experiments of shale in different regions and found that the imbibition volume was much larger than the initial pore volume, which was mainly due to the absorption water molecules of clay. Shen et al. (2017) believed that fracturing fluids were imbibed into the formation matrix and complicated physical and chemical effects happen between fluids and shale, and liquid diffusion into deeper matrix and micro-fracture induced by water-rock reaction were treated as the main mechanisms of water block. Although there are a few studies on the water adsorption of shale, a detailed understanding of water adsorption and diffusion in shale gas reservoir rocks is lacking. Therefore, there is a necessity to understand the behavior of water adsorption and diffusion in shale so as to optimize fracturing treatment and enhance gas productivity in shale gas reservoirs.

In this study, the measurements of water adsorption and diffusion in the Longmaxi Formation shale were conducted using the gravimetric techniques at 30 °C and 50 °C for relative humidities up to 97%. The water adsorption type of shale rocks was determined and the related effects of TOC and temperature were analyzed. The Guggenheim-Anderson-de Boer (GAB) and Frenkel-Halsey-Hill (FHH) models were used to fit the water adsorption isotherm in shale, and the relationships among water adsorption, saturation and water retention in shale pores were determined to illustrate the water adsorption and diffusion process. In order to better understand the process, a computational model based on the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion equation was constructed to analyze water adsorption and gas diffusion in shales, and the GAB isotherm for gas adsorption was included in the model.

2. Theory

The hydration of clay minerals is one of the important interaction processes between the rock matrix and pore fluid. The adsorption isotherm model is a useful approach to describe the hydration process of clay minerals (Chenevert, 1970). There are a number of adsorption isotherm models developed over the years to describe the adsorption process, such as the Langmuir, Freundlich, Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), GAB, and FHH models (Foo and Hameed, 2010). According to the porous structure and the extended multilayer sorption phenomena, Tien (1994) presented five general type curves of adsorption isotherms that identify the adsorption mechanism. Tandanand (1985) and Shen et al. (2018) considered that the water adsorption isotherm of shale rocks was comparable to the isotherm of type II or III, and the most common adsorption isotherm models that expressed the multilayer adsorption phenomena were GAB model and FHH model.

2.1 GAB model

The GAB isothermal adsorption model developed by Guggenheim (1996), Anderson (1946) and Boer (1953), is a modification of the Langmuir and BET theories of adsorption isotherms. It assumes that the state of adsorbate molecules in the second layer is similar to those in superior layers, but different from those in the liquid state. The isotherm model has a third constant, $k$, which is related to the difference between the heat of sorption and the heat of condensation (Anderson, 1946). The GAB model can be written as follows:

$$ q = \frac{q_m k a_w c}{(1 - k a_w) (1 - k a_w + c k a_w)} $$  

(1)

where $q$ is the amount of gas adsorption; $q_m$ is the maximum amount of adsorption for the monolayer; $a_w$ is the water activity, $a_w = p/p_0$; $c$ and $k$ are the GAB constants, respectively. It is noted that when $k$ is equal to 1, the GAB model becomes the BET model.

2.2 FHH model

Based on the multi-layer adsorption with capillary condensation, Frenkel (1946), Halsey (1948) and Hill (1949) proposed the FHH isotherm model of fractal interface to describe the adsorption process. The model assumes the adsorbate as a uniform thin layer of liquid on the homogeneous solid surface, and the molecule in the adsorbed layer will feel different potentials. And the FHH model can be expressed as follows:

$$ \left( \frac{q}{q_m} \right)^n = \frac{A}{\ln \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right)} $$  

(2)

$$ A = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{RT a_m^n} $$  

(3)
Table 1. Some measured properties of shale rocks used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shale sample</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>OCC (%)</th>
<th>Surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Mineral composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shale A</td>
<td>1987.85</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>16.16</td>
<td>Plagioclase, pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale B</td>
<td>1982.73</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>Plagioclase, pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale C</td>
<td>1966.31</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>23.72</td>
<td>Plagioclase, pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale D</td>
<td>1986.13</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>29.38</td>
<td>Plagioclase, pyrite, calcite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Saturated salt solutions used to control relative humidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt solution</th>
<th>Relative humidity (%), 30 °C</th>
<th>Relative humidity (%), 50 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂</td>
<td>32.44</td>
<td>30.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaBr</td>
<td>56.03</td>
<td>50.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KI</td>
<td>67.89</td>
<td>64.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>75.09</td>
<td>74.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>83.62</td>
<td>81.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂SO₄</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>95.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $n$ is the FHH isothermal constant, which is related to the adsorbate, adsorbent and temperature; $\varepsilon_0$ is the adsorption potential of the solid surface; $x_n^m$ is the monolayer adsorption thickness; $T$ is the temperature; $R$ is the gas constant; and the remaining parameters are the same as above.

2.3 Water adsorption and saturation

The water content of the rock is the ratio of the volume of water contained in rock pores to the volume of dry rock, which can be expressed as the volumetric water content (Genuchten, 1980). According to the result from Shang et al. (1995), the amount of adsorption at any given temperature and pressure can be converted to saturation, which can be described as follows:

$$S_w = \frac{(1 - \varphi)}{\varphi} \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_w} q$$

(4)

where $S_w$ is the volumetric water saturation of the rock; $\varphi$ is the porosity of the rock; $\rho_r$ and $\rho_w$ are the densities of the rock and the adsorbed phase, respectively; and the remaining parameters are the same as above.

2.4 Saturation and capillary pressure

While the adsorption process can be described by appropriate isotherm models, the condensation phenomena is well represented by the Kelvin equation (Adamson and Gast, 1967), which can be described as follows:

$$RT \ln \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right) = \frac{2\gamma V_m}{r}$$

(5)

where $R$ is the universal gas constant; $T$ is the temperature; $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension; $V_m$ is the molar volume of a condensed droplet; $r$ is the radius of the droplet; and the remaining parameters are the same as above.

In conjunction with Laplace’s equation (Adamson and Gast, 1967), the capillary pressure can be expressed as follows:

$$\Delta P = \frac{-RT V_m}{V_m} \ln \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right)$$

(6)

where $\Delta P$ is the capillary pressure.

3. Experimental materials and methods

3.1 Experimental materials

In this study, the shale rocks were obtained from various depths within the Longmaxi Formation in southern China, which ranges from 1966.31 m to 1987.85 m. These shale samples were crushed indoors and were separated based on different particle sizes using screens of different mesh sizes (250 ∼ 800 µm). And then the organic carbon content (OCC), specific surface area and mineral composition were measured with the TOC analyzer, Autosorb-1 surface-specific analyzer and X-ray diffraction, respectively. The measured properties of these shale rocks are illustrated in Table 1.
saturated water vapor pressure \((p_0)\) (Shen et al., 2018). After oven-drying (120 °C), the adsorption isotherm and diffusion measurement of shale rocks was conducted at 30 °C with a saturated LiCl salt solution, and then were weighted daily after cooling to room temperature until the equilibrium. The change in shale mass during the process was taken as the amount of adsorbed water. After the completion for LiCl salt solution, the subsequent experiments with MgCl\(_2\), NaBr, KI, NaCl, KCl and K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) salt solutions were conducted at 30 °C, and the measurements at 50 °C the same as that at 30 °C.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Water adsorption isotherm

The curves of water adsorption isotherm for different shale rocks are illustrated in Fig. 2. As can be seen from the Fig. 2(a), the water adsorption isotherm curves in shale show a similar feature. At the relative pressure below 0.65, the amount of adsorbed water seems be approximated by a linear function of relative pressure, and the monolayer-multilayer adsorption occurs in shale. However, the linear relationship is broken down as the relative pressure increases and the adsorbed water increases rapidly with relative pressure. The change in the shape of water adsorption isotherm indicates that capillary condensation takes place, and its contribution to total adsorbed water onto the shale rocks becomes more significant as relative pressure increased further. According to the classification of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), the isotherm types of these shale rocks belong to type II, which is common in the microporous porous media (Sing, 1985). From the result of the Fig. 2(b), we can see that the effect of temperature on water adsorption isotherm also seems to be similar. Generally, the effect is small at the low relative pressure, and then becomes more appreciable at the high relative pressure. One possible cause for the phenomenon is chemical interaction of water with the rock surface. Secondly, the presence of minerals will cause the situation, and there are minerals present in the shale rocks that will dissolve in the adsorbed water and have their appropriate effects on the phenomenon, which may in turn affect the amount of water adsorbed at different temperatures, especially at the high relative pressure. In addition, it is also related to OCC, and organic matter in shale rocks contains many micro- and nanopores, which will influence the adsorption process (Tokunaga et al., 2017). It can be seen that the adsorption capacity of the higher OCC is more than that of lower OCC, which is an indication that the OCC is beneficial to water adsorption of shale rocks.
4.2 Adsorption isotherm fitting

According to the experimental adsorption measurements of shale rocks at 30 °C and 50 °C, the adsorption models of GAB and FHH were applied to fit the experimental data, as shown in Fig. 3. From the result of Fig. 3, although there is certain deviation when the relative pressure is greater than 0.93, the fitting of GAB model agrees well with the experimental data in general. Compared with the GAB model, the FHH model deviates greatly from the fitting results of the experiment, particularly at high relative pressure. The FHH isotherm model is widely used to describe the phenomenon of capillary condensation in porous materials at high relative pressure (Hill, 1949). During the adsorption process, the amount of water adsorbed in shale increases greatly with high relative pressure, which indicates that the capillary condensation takes place in shale pores. Consequently, it can be seen that the equilibrium of water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks is a complicated process including single-layer, multi-layer adsorption and capillary condensation.

4.3 Water saturation and capillary pressure

According to the Eq. (5) - Eq. (7), the relationship between the amount of water adsorbed and relative pressure in shales can be converted into the relationship between capillary pressure and water saturation, as illustrated in Fig. 4. In the study, the water saturation is calculated from the amount of water adsorbed using the bulk water density of 0.996 g/cm³ (30 °C) and 0.988 g/cm³ (50 °C), respectively. As can be seen from Fig. 4(a), the water saturation is very low at relative pressure below 0.65, and the water saturation of shale increases rapidly with the higher relative pressure. The reason is that the large amount of water adsorbed in the capillary pores will condense.
into liquid droplets. From the result of Fig. 4(b), we can see that capillary pressure is considerably large, which can reach hundreds of MPa in the low saturation. Thus, it is far from easy for water retention to return to the ground, which results in considerable water retention in shale reservoirs.

4.4 Water retention in shale pores

The FHH model considers the adsorbate as a uniform thin layer of liquid on the homogeneous solid surface, which is commonly used to analyze and describe the adsorption process on the surface of the flat solid (Prost et al., 1998). In the study, the FHH plot can be achieved by plotting log(water content (mg/g)) as a function of log(1/(RH)), which is illustrated in Fig. 5. From the result of Fig. 5, it can be perceived that the FHH plot provides a useful plot for dividing three portions of adsorbed water in shale rocks, which includes monolayer adsorption, multilayer adsorption and capillary condensation. At the low relative pressure, the monolayer adsorption occurs in shale rocks, and the water is adsorbed on the hydrophilic sites in shale. As relative pressures increase, the multilayer adsorption will take place in free surfaces. At the higher relative pressure, the capillary condensation arises in shale pores, which leads to the amount of adsorbed water significantly increase.

5. Mathematical model and model description

5.1 Mathematical model

In order to better understand the process of water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks, a computational model based
### Table 3. Some properties of the shale model for water adsorption and diffusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model radius</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity ($\text{Por}$)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusivity ($D_{12}$)</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective diffusivity ($D_{c12}$)</td>
<td>$(D_{12}) \times \text{Por}^{1.5}$</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAB coefficient ($C_o$)</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAB coefficient ($k$)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The maximum adsorption amount for the monolayer of GAB ($V_{\text{max}}$)</td>
<td>$4.22 \times 10^{-3}$ kg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air molar mass ($M_{\text{air}}$)</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-2}$ kg/mol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water molar mass ($M_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$)</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ kg/mol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water density ($\rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$)</td>
<td>$9.96 \times 10^2$ kg/m$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock density ($\rho_{\text{grain}}$)</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^3$ kg/m$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard atmosphere ($P$)</td>
<td>$1.01 \times 10^5$ Pa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ($T$)</td>
<td>30 (50)</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated vapor pressure ($P_{\text{swat}}$)</td>
<td>$610.78 e^{(T/(T+238.3) \times 17.27)}$ Pa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated mole fraction</td>
<td>$RH \times (P_{\text{swat}}/P)$</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated mass fraction</td>
<td>$X_{\text{sat}}/M_{\text{H}<em>2\text{O}}/ (X</em>{\text{sat}} \times M_{\text{H}<em>2\text{O}} + (1 - X</em>{\text{sat}}) \times M_{\text{air}})$</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

on the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion equation was constructed to analyze water adsorption and gas diffusion in shale rocks. Based on the above experiment results, the GAB isotherm for gas adsorption was included in the model. For the multi-component gas, the Maxwell-Stefan equation can describe the process of gas diffusion (COMSOL, 2015), which is expressed as follows:

$$\delta_{ts} \rho \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot j_i + \rho u \cdot \nabla w_i = R_i$$ (7)

$$j_i = - \left( \rho w_i \sum_k D_{1k} d_k + D^T_i \frac{\nabla T}{T} \right)$$ (8)

$$d_k = \nabla X_K + (X_K - W_K) \frac{\nabla P}{P}$$ (9)

$$X_K = \frac{W_K}{M_K} M_n$$ (10)

$$M_n = \left( \sum_i W_K M_K \right)^{-1}$$ (11)

$$\delta_{ts} \rho \frac{\partial w_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( -\rho w_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sum_k D_{1k} (\nabla X_K + (X_K - W_K) \frac{\nabla P}{P}) - D^T_i \frac{\nabla T}{T} \right) = R - \rho u \cdot \nabla w_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$$ (12)

where $\delta_{ts}$ is the time-scale coefficient; $\rho$ is the density; $D_{1k}$ is the diffusion coefficient of Maxwell-Stefan; $P$ is the pressure; $T$ is the temperature; $u$ is the velocity; $D^T_i$ is the multi-component thermal diffusivity; $M$ is the molecular weight; $R$ is the reaction rate.

5.2 Model description

In order to better understand the process of water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks, a three-dimensional model of microscale shale particle was established. The geometry of the shale particle was illustrated in Fig. 6 and the properties of the model were summarized in Table 3. In the study, the shale model assumptions are as follows: (1) The internal water vapor concentration of shale particles is zero at the initial time; (2) The outer boundary is under saturated water vapor pressure controlled by saturated salt solution with different humidity. And all external surfaces are kept at saturation vapor pressure. Here the shale B was selected to simulate water adsorption
and diffusion in shale rocks, and the simulation was run in the platform of COMSOL Multiphysics Software (COMSOL, 2015).

5.3 Simulation results

At the initial stage, the shale particles are dry, which means that the internal water concentration of the shale particle is zero. When the relative humidity in the shale particle is less than that in the external environment, the shale particle will absorb water vapor. That is, the water will enter the shale particle by the diffusion until that the relative humidity of the shale particle is equal to the outside humidity, and it will reach the state of adsorption dynamic equilibrium. The adsorbed H$_2$O concentration along a slice passing through center of the sphere and water content versus time with different relative humidities are illustrated in Fig. 7. From the result of Fig. 7, it can be seen that the water adsorption in shale is very slow, and then gradually diffuses and adsorbs from the exterior to internal until that the moisture humidity inside and outside is equal. Compared with the measured result, we can see that the simulation result of shale particle water vapor is slightly lower than the experimental result, and the reason is that the phase change of water vapor during the simulation is not considered. However, the capillary condensation occurs when the shale particle adsorbs adequate water vapor, which results in the difference between the simulation and the experiment.

6. Conclusions

In this study, the measurements of water adsorption and diffusion from the Longmaxi Fromation shale rocks were carried out at 30 °C and 50 °C for over a relative humidity range of 11.1% to 97.0% using the gravimetric method. Based on the experimental results, a computational model based on the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion equation and the GAB adsorption was constructed to analyze water adsorption and diffusion in shale rocks. According to the above results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The amount of adsorbed water seems increase linearly at relative pressures below 0.65, while the linear relationship breaks down as the pressure increases and the adsorbed water increases rapidly with pressure. Water adsorption in shale reservoir rocks belongs to the type II isotherm, which includes the monolayer, multilayer adsorption and capillary condensation, and the GAB model can be used to describe the
(2) Water adsorption by shale is closely related to temperature and the organic carbon content. As the organic carbon content of shale increases, the amount of water adsorption increases, and the organic carbon content and temperature enhance water adsorption in shale at high relative pressures.

(3) The capillary pressure can reach the order of several hundreds of MPa after the hydraulic fracturing process, and the fracturing fluid is far from easy to flow back which results in large amounts of the fracturing fluid remained in shale gas reservoirs. The simulations of water adsorption in shale rocks is below the adsorption experiments, which is an indication that the capillary condensation occurs in shale pores.
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